Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/730,796	SIX ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Abandonded</u>		
(1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo.	(3)	
(2) Wendy Petka, J.D.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 May 2007	Time: ~11:45 AM EST	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare 	e examiner will provide a writton ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
. rexaminer/SPE Signature) - (ADDIICANT	Modificant's Representative 51	ישוומנעופ – וו מטטוטטוומנפו

-Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Attorney Petka to inquire as to the status of the instant application. Ms. Petka verified that no response to the office action mailed on October 5, 2006 had been filed and that a continuation of the instant application was filed on February 13, 2007...